

South Lake Middle School

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2015-16 School Year

Published During 2016-17

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)

School Contact Information	
School Name	South Lake Middle School
Street	655 West Yale Loop
City, State, Zip	Irvine, CA 92614
Phone Number	(949) 936-6700
Principal	Belinda Averill
E-mail Address	belindaaverill@iusd.org
Web Site	http://www.southlakems.org
CDS Code	30-73650-6111876

District Contact Information	
District Name	Irvine Unified School District
Phone Number	(949) 936-6500
Superintendent	Mr. Terry Walker
E-mail Address	TerryWalker@iusd.org
Web Site	www.iusd.org

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2016-17)

South Lake Middle School has been designated a California Distinguished School by the California Department of Education. This honor is based on our exemplary instructional program, our safe and personalized culture of respect, and involved parents and community partners. Our highly skilled staff motivates students to excel and provides the guidance for all to develop critical thinking skills and higher level learning strategies. Focusing on state and district standards, all students experience a rich curriculum and an opportunity to connect and build their knowledge base while developing research and presentation skills. We believe all South Lake students will become intellectual risk takers who persevere within a safe, yet rigorous, learning environment to navigate college and career options with confidence, skills, and diligence. At South Lake, we follow three essential tenants called South Lake Cares: we take care of ourselves, each other and our school.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 7	365
Grade 8	341
Total Enrollment	706

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	3.7
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.4
Asian	32.3
Filipino	2.7
Hispanic or Latino	14.9
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.3
White	41.5
Two or More Races	4.1
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	29.3
English Learners	15.3
Students with Disabilities	12.7
Foster Youth	0.4

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2016-17
With Full Credential	31.11	33.64	35.84	1419.03
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	2.44	2.84	3.0	105.84

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2015-16)

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.0	0.0
All Schools in District	100.0	0.0
High-Poverty Schools in District	100.0	0.0
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100.0	0.0

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

Year and month in which data were collected: September 2016

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by textbook software in September, 2016. All textbooks purchased are state-adopted, and are standards aligned.	Yes	0%
Mathematics	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by textbook software in September, 2016. All textbooks purchased are state-adopted, and are standards aligned.	Yes	0%

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Science	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by textbook software in September, 2016. All textbooks purchased are state-adopted, and are standards aligned.	Yes	0%
History-Social Science	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by a survey conducted in September 2016. All textbooks purchased are state-adopted, and are standards aligned.	Yes	0%
Foreign Language	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by a survey conducted in October 2015. All textbooks purchased are state-adopted, and are standards aligned.	Yes	0%
Health	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material as determined by textbook software in September, 2016. All textbooks purchased are state-adopted, and are standards aligned.	Yes	0%
Visual and Performing Arts	All students are provided an individual textbook and/or instructional material.	Yes	0%

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

South Lake opened as a new facility in September 1994. The school was designed with the library media center as its hub. Surrounding it are six wings, each of which each have four classrooms opening onto a technology workroom/presentation area with a sophisticated infrastructure. To date there are three full classroom size computer labs within the schools, plus two additional mini-labs located within an upstairs and downstairs wing of the school. In addition, we have 11 Chromebook carts for student use distributed around the school. The facility was planned to maximize cross-curricular instruction, collaboration of professionals, and personalization of students. South Lake is a learning center where students feel safe and secure. A Campus Safety Supervisor supports and enhances school security and safety as well as support from the Irvine Police Department School Resource Officers. The Custodial staff takes pride in supporting and maintaining South Lake’s facility. Students regularly assist in the cleaning and tidiness of their school. Recycling paper, plastic, glass, and metal material is a basic part of our school culture.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month of the most recent FIT report: December 2016				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical: Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month of the most recent FIT report: December 2016				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: December 2016				
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
		X		

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
English Language Arts/Literacy	71	71	77	78	44	48
Mathematics	71	71	74	75	34	36

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	7	371	362	97.6	71.3
	8	351	345	98.3	70.9
Male	7	207	203	98.1	64.0
	8	193	191	99.0	66.0
Female	7	164	159	97.0	80.5
	8	158	154	97.5	77.1
Black or African American	7	15	15	100.0	26.7

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
	8	12	12	100.0	41.7
Asian	7	113	110	97.3	80.0
	8	121	117	96.7	83.6
Filipino	8	12	12	100.0	75.0
Hispanic or Latino	7	58	55	94.8	66.0
	8	50	50	100.0	38.0
White	7	156	153	98.1	72.4
	8	144	142	98.6	73.2
Two or More Races	7	19	19	100.0	57.9
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	7	85	82	96.5	46.8
	8	85	85	100.0	51.8
English Learners	7	45	42	93.3	34.1
	8	46	43	93.5	23.8
Students with Disabilities	7	51	50	98.0	20.8
	8	37	35	94.6	17.1

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	7	371	363	97.8	68.4
	8	371	363	97.8	68.4
Male	7	207	203	98.1	65.2
	8	207	203	98.1	65.2
Female	7	164	160	97.6	72.5
	8	164	160	97.6	72.5
Black or African American	7	15	15	100.0	13.3
	8	15	15	100.0	13.3
Asian	7	113	110	97.3	84.5
	8	113	110	97.3	84.5

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
Hispanic or Latino	7	58	55	94.8	50.9
	8	58	55	94.8	50.9
White	7	156	154	98.7	70.8
	8	156	154	98.7	70.8
Two or More Races	7	19	19	100.0	47.4
	8	19	19	100.0	47.4
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	7	85	83	97.7	42.0
	8	85	83	97.7	42.0
English Learners	7	45	43	95.6	46.5
	8	45	43	95.6	46.5
Students with Disabilities	7	51	50	98.0	18.8
	8	51	50	98.0	18.8

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	95	92	86	88	88	87	60	56	54

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group
Grades Five, Eight, and Ten (School Year 2015-16)**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	# of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students Proficient or Advanced
All Students	351	345	98.3	85.8
Male	193	191	99.0	84.8
Female	158	154	97.5	87.0
Black or African American	12	12	100.0	75.0
Asian	121	117	96.7	95.7
Filipino	12	12	100.0	91.7
Hispanic or Latino	50	49	98.0	61.2
White	144	143	99.3	85.3
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	85	84	98.8	73.8
English Learners	46	43	93.5	62.8
Students with Disabilities	37	35	94.6	60.0

Note: Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The “Proficient or Advanced” is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
7	10.4	16	70.3

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

We believe that students, teachers and parents must work closely together in an atmosphere of mutual respect and cooperation. Education is a function of both the community and the school that serves it, and we welcome your participation in your child’s educational development. Parents are encouraged to join the PTSA and are invited to work on one of the many PTSA committees that serve our school – whether through assistance to the library/media center, our physical education program, our counseling program, student leadership, school fundraisers, etc. Through a school wide nominating and election process, parents may also actively participate as members of our School Site Council, choose to be a member of our English Language Advisory Committee, or participate in a Title 1 Parent Education presentation. We welcome and encourage parents to visit and utilize our school web site, parent portal, Canvas and read the Principal's Newsletter to stay informed and involved with their student's progress and experiences in school.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions	4.5	2.5	4.5	1.3	1.4	1.2	4.4	3.8	3.7
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

School Safety Plan (School Year 2016-17)

South Lake School has developed a comprehensive Safe School Plan. Our plan is evaluated yearly and amended, as needed by the School Site Council and our school safety planning committee. Key elements of the plan include: 1. Assessing the current status of school crime committed on the school campus and at school-related functions, 2a. Child Abuse Reporting Procedures, 2b. Disaster Procedures-Routine and Emergency, 2c. Policies for Suspension, Expulsion and Mandatory Expulsion, 2d. Procedures for Notification of Staff Regarding Dangerous Students, 2e. Policies on Sexual Harassment, 2f. Policy Relating to School Dress Code (especially gang-related apparel), 2g. Procedures for Safe Ingress and Egress of Pupils, Parents, and School Employees To and From School, 2h. Procedures that Create a Safe and Orderly Environment Conducive to Learning at School, 2i. Rules and Procedures on School Discipline.

In addition to our Safe School Plan, South Lake students are expected to use our established Positive Behavior Support and Intervention (PBIS) system of South Lake Cares: Take Care of Yourself, take Care of Each Other, Take Care of Our School. Additionally, all classified and certificated staff at South Lake have been inserviced on both new State and District guidelines and expectations regarding "Bullying Prevention" and have designed interventions to promote an "anti-bullying" environment at South Lake.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2016-17)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2013-2014	2012-2013
Year in Program Improvement*	Year 1	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	7
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	46.7

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2013-14				2014-15				2015-16			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	26	6	13	10	25	10	12	8	25.13	6	21	5
Mathematics	30	2	10	12	14	4			27.5	3	16	7
Science	34		9	11	33		6	14	31.36		6	16
Social Science	31	2	10	10	29	3	12	8	27.6	2	16	7

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2015-16)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	1.6	441
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.8	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.5	N/A
Psychologist	1.0	N/A
Social Worker	0.2	N/A
Nurse	0.3	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.2	N/A
Resource Specialist	5.0	N/A
Other	0	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/Restricted	Basic/Unrestricted	
School Site	\$5,560	\$362	\$5,198	\$77,106
District	N/A	N/A	\$4,979	\$77,121
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	4.4	0.0
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,677	\$75,837
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-8.4	1.7

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

The Local Control Funding Formula has replaced California's previous method of allocating funds to school districts. In place of more than 40 specific funding categories, the new model has established three sources of state funding with increased local discretion to determine how best to spend those funds in the service of students. All districts receive a base of per-student funding that varies slightly depending on grade level. All districts also receive supplemental funding. This additional funding adds 20 percent to the base funding for each English-language learner, low-income student and foster youth. Finally, concentration grant funding equal to 50 percent of the base is provided to districts in which English-learners, low-income students and foster youth exceed 55 percent of the total enrollment. Irvine Unified School District is not eligible for concentration funding because its enrollment does not exceed the 55 percent threshold.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$44,105	\$45,092
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$78,770	\$71,627
Highest Teacher Salary	\$101,821	\$93,288
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$118,926	\$115,631
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$123,149	\$120,915
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$139,516	\$132,029
Superintendent Salary	\$264,920	\$249,537
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	38%	37%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

The focus of Professional Development during for the past three years has been to: (1) Development of CCSS aligned curriculum and assessment; (2) Refining instructional targets and best practices to teach the CCSS; (3) Identify and implement models of interventions for students not yet achieving standards, and ensure that all students acquire the appropriate academic competencies that are needed to prepare them to transition successfully beyond IUSD; (4) Coordinate our collaborative efforts in working together as a Professional Learning Community – where all teachers teaching the same classes agree on the most essential and enduring learning outcomes, how to best teach towards those outcomes, how to measure whether individual students have attained these goals, and how to best assist students who have not yet reached proficiency on our essential learning targets. (5) Refine our school-wide model for Positive Behavior Intervention and Support systems, and focus on Growth Mindset. (6) Re-define our vision and mission by defining what we want for all South Lake students, and calibrating what this will look like across the school day.

To support professional development described above, all teachers were provided with 3 release days by IUSD and 3 by SLMS to participate in the work of their subject area Professional Learning Community. In addition to site based release days, teachers also received additional training release days by attending District workshops. Additionally, South Lake utilizes a "Late Start Tuesday" where once a month the entire South Lake faculty works on school wide Continuous Improvement Efforts and on all other Tuesdays, teachers work with subject matter team partners to develop and coordinate the most effective practices in curriculum and instruction.